



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/830,802 12/26/2001		Marc Zabeau	29314/34158A	2002	
	7	7590 06/16/2003				
	Marshall Gerstein & Borun			EXAMINER		
6300 Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive				SPIEGLER, AL	SPIEGLER, ALEXANDER H	
	Chicago, IL 6		•			
	5go, 12			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1637	\wedge	
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		/			
3	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	09/830,802	ZABEAU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander H. Spiegler	1637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears n the cover she t with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C	<u> October 2001</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the cond					
Disposition of Claims		· ·			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or 6 Application Papers	election requirement.	į			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	·	minor			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	Trod by the Examinor.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	u)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ Alli b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	promy and or every 3 mag	,, (5) 5: (1).			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior	ity documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/830,802

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-23, drawn to methods for detecting an endonuclease site polymorphism,
 classified in class 435, subclass 6, for example.
 - II. Claim 24, drawn to a method for obtaining probe DNA fragments, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1, for example.
 - III. Claims 25-36, drawn to methods for producing a microarray of probe DNA, classified in class 435, subclass 4, for example.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, Group I is drawn to methods for detecting an endonuclease site polymorphism, whereas Group II is drawn to a method for obtaining probe DNA fragments, whereas Group III drawn to methods for producing a microarray of probe DNA. Accordingly, the inventions are unrelated since each group is directed to methods having different method steps (i.e., modes of operation), starting materials, and goals (i.e., functions and effects).

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a different status in the art as demonstrated by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter and because inventions I-III require different searches that are not co-

Application/Control Number: 09/830,802

Art Unit: 1637

Page 3

extensive, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner

and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Nabeela R. McMillian on June 6th, 2003 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition

under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander H. Spiegler whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014. Applicant is also invited to contact the TC 1600 Customer Service Hotline at (703) 308-

0198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Alexander H. Spiegler

June 11, 2003

ENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINEP

6/12/03